COMBINATION OF LETTERS OF THE DIVINE NAME

Ongoing recitations of letters and divine names are well-known techniques for the attainment of paranormal states of consciousness; they are used alike by Christian,\textsuperscript{151} Muslim,\textsuperscript{152} Hindu,\textsuperscript{153} and Japanese\textsuperscript{154} mystics. Most, if not all, of these techniques seem to operate upon the consciousness of the mystic by enabling him to focus his attention upon a short phrase or sentence—"There is no God but Allah," "Jesus Christ," "Namou Amida Boutso"—or even a few letters, as in the Hindu \textit{Aum}. This relatively simple device is comparable to fixing one's vision upon a point;\textsuperscript{155} the mystic must escape the impact of external factors, and in this respect his activity is similar to that of someone undergoing sensory deprivation.

Ancient Jewish sources, primarily those of Heikhalot literature, present a technique closely parallel to those found in non-Jewish forms of mysticism.\textsuperscript{156} These affinities become evident when one compares some of the details shared by the Jewish and non-Jewish techniques. In another type of Jewish technique, however, the psychological result is different, given the discrepancy between this technique and its parallels on one important issue—namely, the use by Jewish mystics of a complex and intricate system of letters to be pronounced or meditated upon. Instead of the simple formulas of non-Jewish techniques, the Jewish texts evince elaborate combinations of letters with hundreds of components. Moreover, as we shall see, according to Jewish practice the mystic had not only to pronounce them according to strict, fixed patterns but had also actively to construct these combinations as part of the mystical practice. The effect of combinatory techniques was the result both of the process of their utterance and of the hyperactivation of the mind required to produce the contents that were pronounced. These monotonous repetitions of well-known phrases or divine names thus achieved not a calmness or stillness of the mind but rather a high excitation of the mental processes, triggered by the unceasing need to combine letters, their vocalizations, and various bodily acts—movements of the head or hands or respiratory devices.\textsuperscript{157} Although superficially similar to a variety of mystical techniques based upon language, the Kabbalistic practice possessed an idiosyncratic psychological mechanism, only rarely occurring in such techniques. I shall briefly discuss here some sources concerning the pronunciation or repetition of divine names—a practice paralleled in non-Jewish techniques; I shall then discuss the medieval use of combinations of letters, which differs significantly from the more ancient technique.

It is a striking fact that a detailed and systematic technique of letter
combination forming the divine name appears for the first time in a work of R. Eleazar of Worms and, under his influence, among Spanish Kabbalists. More than in the other examples of mystical techniques attested by Franco-German sources prior to their appearance in Spanish Kabbalah, in this case there are reliable indications that the repercussions of this technique in Spain were directly connected to the Ashkenazic culture. Abraham Abulafia explicitly mentions R. Eleazar’s works as books he had studied; thus, the transition can easily be proven. The other two Kabbalists of the late thirteenth and fourteenth centuries acquainted with combination techniques—R. Joseph ben Shalom Ashkenazi and R. David ben Yehudah he-Hasid—were either Ashkenazi by origin (the former) or had visited Germany (the latter). We can reasonably conclude, then, that the mystical techniques surveyed below passed from Germany to Spain. According to the historical evidence, this movement took place only from the middle of the thirteenth century, thereby excluding Provençal and most Catalan theosophical Kabbalah from its influence. Thus, in contrast to the Ashkenazic influence on the emergence of the Kabbalah in those centers with regard to theosophical issues, this mystical technique was cultivated in Spanish circles relatively late. The delay can be understood in terms of the topic’s esoteric nature, a feature that seems to be corroborated by the fact that, even centuries after R. Eleazar of Worms had recorded some details of this technique, they remained in manuscript, as did the mystical handbooks of Abulafia and his disciples.

Several indications of recitations of names—either angelic or divine—are extant in Heikhalot literature. These reservations, as we have seen above, were still practiced during the Gaonic period. There is conclusive evidence that the pronunciation of mystical names was known and cultivated in Germany, at least during the lifetime of R. Eleazar of Worms. The anonymous author of Sefer ha-Ḥayyim indicates: “He pronounces the holy names or names of the angels in order to be shown [whatever] he wishes, or to inform him of a hidden matter, and then the Holy Spirit reveals itself to him, and his flesh . . . trembles . . . because of the strength of the Holy Spirit.”

The fiery attack by R. Moshe of Taku, written shortly after the floruit of R. Eleazar, is highly instructive. He speaks of persons “void of understanding” and “heretics who pose as prophets and are accustomed to pronouncing the holy names; and sometimes, they direct [their heart] when they read them [pronounce the names] and their soul is terrified. . . . But when the power of the pronounced name leaves him, he returns to his initial state of confused reason.”

These statements provide appropriate background to understand R. Eleazar’s statement that neither the divine names nor their vocalizations ought to be written down, lest those “devoid of understanding” use them. R. Eleazar’s fears can easily be understood in light of the criticism of a more conservative figure such as Taku; significantly, both use the same phrase, hasereiy da’at, in order to describe those who make use of the divine names. R. Eleazar, however, confesses that “some future things and spirits were revealed to us by means of the [divine?] attributes through the pronouncements of the depths of the names in order to know the spirit of the wisdoms.”

The use of the phrase “revealed to us” clearly shows that this refers to a practical technique, not a repetition of no longer active formulas; therefore, the three above-mentioned statements, like the analogous evidence in the preceding section concerning the ascent of soul, are conclusive proof of the experiential use of the pronunciation of divine names. The names cited by R. Eleazar shortly before the above text are mystical names already occurring in Jewish texts related to Heikhalot literature, such as Adirion, Bibrion, and so on. Moreover, the assertion of this Ashkenazic Hasidic master that each of the forty-two letters of the divine name is a divine name in itself obviously reflects an ancient Jewish conception. It is therefore reasonable to assume that R. Eleazar preserved ancient mystical material and techniques that had been passed down to Spanish Kabbalists via the intermediacy of Ashkenazic masters, the most important of whom, Abraham Abulafia, elaborated upon the received traditions in a relatively detailed fashion. Abulafia also explicitly refers to Heikhalot literature as an important source of his use of divine names. Before entering into a brief presentation of Abulafia, however, I should like to discuss the influence on two important Kabbalists who flourished in Spain of a peculiar pattern of combination of divine letters occurring in R. Eleazar.

In his Sefer ha-Shem, R. Eleazar discusses the combination of the letters of the Tetragrammaton with each of the letters of the alphabet. Moreover, these combinations are in turn combined with their vocalizations by two of the six vowels. Thus, the combination of aleph with yod, vocalized according to these six vowels, is expressed by this sample:
R. Eleazar explains the combinations of these letters only on the cosmological and theological levels, with no reference to their possible use as a mystical technique. However, the fact that not only letters but also vowels are included in this table points to a praxis of pronunciation. Against the background of the earlier evidence concerning R. Eleazar's revelation using divine names, and the fact that he perceived their vocalization as connected with the use of these names, we can infer that, notwithstanding his silence, the author conceived these combinations as a mystical practice. This assumption is corroborated by a description of the creation of a golem (the vivification of a humanlike form made out of clay) by R. Eleazar, in which he wrote that we must pronounce all the letters of the alphabet over every limb of the golem, combined with one of the letters of the Tetragrammaton and vocalized according to the six vowels mentioned above. Thus, despite the author's silence, the table found in Sefer ha-Shem was meant to be pronounced as part of a magical praxis for the creation of a golem by a certain incantation of combinations of letters. According to Scholem, this technique can culminate in ecstasy. This assumption seems to be corroborated by R. Eleazar's confession that he received a revelation by means of the divine names.

The table above was copied in its entirety by R. David ben Yehudah ha-Hasid, who presumably learned it during his visit in Regensburg. He, however, considered the thirty-six combinations and vocalizations to be paralleled by the thirty-six movements of the lulav, an issue I was unable to locate in Ashkenazic texts. R. David's contemporary, R. Joseph Ashkenazi, an important source for some of his Kabbalistic ideas, elaborated upon R. Eleazar's table in his Commentary on Genesis Rabbah and in an unidentified discussion of the creation of a golem. These two Kabbalists do not, strictly speaking, belong to the ecstatic Kabbalah; however, both of them were interested in combinatory techniques, as indicated in their works. R. Joseph quoted Abraham Abulafia's Commentary on Sefer Yetzirah and, as we shall see in the next section, preserved an important text on ecstasy and visualization of the divine names. R. David apparently received revelations of Elijah. Although I cannot conclusively describe these Kabbalists as following the mystical technique of R. Eleazar, the supposition that they were more than mere repositories of the Ashkenazi master's views seems a reasonable one.

There is little room for doubt as to the use of R. Eleazar's technique of combination for mystical purposes by his older contemporary, R. Abraham Abulafia. In his mystical handbook, Or ha-Sekhel, one finds a similar table, albeit in slightly changed form: instead of six basic vowels, Abulafia prefers only five; thus, his tables consist of twenty-five basic combinations of letters and vowels. As in R. Eleazar, Abulafia's table is no more than a sample for the recitation of the combinations of all twenty-two letters, combined with the four letters of the Tetragrammaton. According to this table, the pronunciation of the divine name involves many sublime matters, and whoever does not take care when performing it endangers himself. For this reason, asserts Abulafia, the ancient masters concealed it. But the time has now come to reveal it, since, as he says, the messianic era has begun. Abulafia's assessment is indeed interesting: he argues that he merely reveals a hidden technique that has been in existence for a long time. This assertion strengthens the earlier assumption that R. Eleazar's table was intended to serve mystical, and not only magical, purposes.

Abulafia was more than a Kabbalist who disclosed esoteric techniques; his Or ha-Sekhel was an attempt to integrate this technique into a speculative system including a philosophy of language and a definition of the ultimate goal of the technique—the attainment of unio mystica. Thus, he succeeded in imposing an elaborate mystical technique on a larger public, as convincingly indicated by the relatively large number of manuscripts of Or ha-Sekhel. In early sixteenth-century Jerusalem, R. Yehudah Albotini composed a mystical handbook, Sullam ha-`Aliyah, based upon Abulafia's techniques, including among other things the tables found in Or ha-Sekhel. Moreover, Abulafia's tables, accompanied by some of his explanations, were quoted in one of the classics of Kabbalistic literature, Cordovero's ParDES Rimmonim. Significantly, this Safedian Kabbalist begins his extensive discussion of pronunciation of the divine name with Abulafia's system, afterward mentioning that of R. Eleazar of Worms, copied from a secondary source. As we learn from the testimony of R. Mordecai Dato, a disciple of Cordovero, his master, influenced by Abulafia's works beyond their quotation, practiced Abulafian techniques and taught them to his students. Furthermore, he regarded Abulafia's technique as a "Kabbalistic tradition transmitted orally, or the words of a Maggid [celestial messenger]." It is no wonder, then, that he considered Abulafia's type of Kabbalah as superior even to that of the Zohar. Cordovero, however, not only contributed to the dissemination of Abulafia's tables, as he did with those of R. Eleazar; quoting Abulafia's explanations, he also propagated the view that the union of the human and divine minds was to be achieved through this technique, which, as Abulafia put it, "draws down the supernatural force in order to cause it to be united with you." This Hermetic understanding of Abulafia's technique had an important influence on the Hasidic perception of devekut as attained by causing divine spiritual force to descend upon the mystic.
I have surveyed the history of one combinatorial technique. A few others, connected with the recitation of the alphabet according to the permutations of letters given in Sefer Yeẓirah, were used both by R. Eleazar of Worms and by Abulafia. The latter presented several elaborate techniques in his other handbooks: Sefer Elayye ha-ʿOlam ba-Ba, Sefer ha-Ḥeshek and Sefer Ṭmrēi Shefer. This willingness to propose more than one technique as a suitable path for attaining a mystical experience is decisive proof that Abulafia transcended the magical perception shared by the mystics that there was one and only one way to attain the supreme experience. Although his various techniques shared some elements in common, such as the need for isolation, breathing exercises, bodily movements, and the wearing of clean garments, they differed in many basic details. Abulafia also cultivated the pronunciation of letters of the divine names inscribed variously in different kinds of circles, a technique having nothing to do with the table technique mentioned above. These circles consisted of permutations of some of the biblical and later divine names according to different combinatorial techniques; the use of circles is also conspicuous in Ḥasyye ha-ʿOlam ba-Ba, which was aptly designated The Book of Circles. No wonder, then, that one of the most elaborate visions reported by Abulafia is that of a circle, a Kabbalistic mandala including both cosmic and psychological structures. Interestingly, the vision of circles recurs in the works of other ecstatic Kabbalists, who used Abulafian or similar techniques of combinations of letters, such as R. Isaac of Acre, R. Shem Tov ibn Gaon, and R. Elnathan ben Moses Kalkis.

In ʿOr ba-Ḥeshel Abulafia emphasizes, more than does R. Eleazar in his works, that his tables, as well as his circles, are methods for facilitating all possible combinations of the letters of the divine names. These letters are sometimes permuted without adding other letters; at other times—as in the table—the entire alphabet is used in order to pronounce the letters of divine names. Although the pronunciation of the Tetragrammaton was conceived as a transgression of both biblical and rabbinic interdictions, there was no attack on Abulafia's technique on this ground in the Kabbalistic material with which I am acquainted. Although it is a conspicuously anomian technique, the recitation of letters as described by Abulafia managed to escape the fierce criticism to which his prophetic and messianic activities were subjected.

We can summarize this short survey of one of Abulafia's techniques by stating that the incorporation of R. Eleazar's method of combination of letters into the Spanish Kabbalah fertilized it by allowing for the construction of a more elaborate technical path intended to attain mystical goals such as revelations and union with supernal beings. This technique remained the patrimony of a few, albeit important, Kabbalists, contributing to the emergence of extreme types of mystical experiences.
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