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A B S T R A C T

The Luanhe River basin, the mostly afforested river basin in North China, has exhibited significant land use/land cover change (LUCC) under climate change that could jointly affect water availability of the basin in the future. This study examines both impacts of LUCC and climate change on runoff over the upper reaches of the Luanhe River basin. First, the land use in 2020 is predicted based on the Cellular Automata-Markov (CA-Markov). Second, a hydrological model (Soil Water Assessment Tools, SWAT) is set up for the baseline period 1961–1979 and driven primarily by outputs from five general circulation models (GCMs) under four representative concentration pathways (RCPs) (i.e., RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5) for the period 2020–2030. Results show that the ensemble mean annual precipitation may increase under four RCPs for the period 2020–2030, with the maximum (470 mm/yr) and minimum (444 mm/yr) for RCP8.5 and RCP6.0, respectively, 1–7% higher than the observed mean annual precipitation (441 mm/yr) during 1961–1979. The relationship between the runoff simulations and the RCPs under the 2020 land use scenario is nonlinear, with the maximum (57 mm/yr) and minimum (50 mm/yr) mean annual runoff depths under the RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 scenarios, respectively, ~58% and ~39% higher than the mean annual observed runoff depth (36 mm/yr) for the baseline period. The increase in forestland (~56%) and decrease in agriculture land (~−30%) are remarkable for the period 1970–2020, driven primarily by afforestation implemented in the Luanhe River basin. LUCC would lead to a slight decrease in mean annual runoff, and the runoff only increases in summer but decreases in other three seasons. The decrease in surface runoff and groundwater discharge jointly results in the overall decrease in runoff due to LUCC. In general, the climate change impact will dominate runoff change for the study basin, though marked afforestation has taken place and is likely to continue in the future.

1. Introduction

With the development of society and economy, various human activities have profoundly affected the hydrological cycle and water resources through different pathways (Li et al., 2007), and an important indicator of such impacts is the land use and land cover change (LUCC) (Deng et al., 2015; Lambin and Meyfroidt, 2011). LUCC has significant impacts on hydrological processes, as well as the economy and ecology of watersheds by regulating runoff generation and routing, and evapotranspiration (ET) (DeFries and Eshleman, 2004; Deng et al., 2003). The Luanhe River basin in North China features marked afforestation over its upper reaches since 1999 for the purposes of reducing sandstorm from Inner Mongolia to Beijing and soil and water conservation. Three large tree farms, i.e., Yudaokou, Qiansongba and Saibei were built during these years where the forest coverage rate reached almost 50% by 2016, and is expected to increase to 73% by 2021 (Li et al., 2017). There are two reservoirs in the midstream of the Luanhe River (i.e., the Panjiakou Reservoir and the Daheiting Reservoir), which are the most important surface water sources for Tianjin Municipality and Tangshan City (Cao et al., 2010; Li and Huang, 2015). The water division project from the Luanhe River to Tianjin increases water supply and improves water quality, and effectively reduces groundwater abstraction and land subsidence in Tianjin. Since the 1950s, the hydrological cycle in the Luanhe River basin has been remarkably changed, driven primarily by the combined effects of climate change and human activities (Liu et al., 2013). Due to less rainfall and the effect of soil and water conservation, decreases in mean annual runoff have been shown to reach 30% during 1980–2002, compared with the period 1956–1979, which may result in water supply crisis (Li et al., 2014). It is therefore imperative to predict changes in water availability by...
considering both LUCC and climate change in the future, so as to formulate adaptation strategies to achieve sustainable use and allocation of water resources. Precipitation and streamflow in the Luanhe River basin have changed markedly after 1979 (Chen et al., 2013), so we selected the period 1961–1979 as the baseline period when both climate change and human activities had little effect on the basin. We predicted the land use map of 2020 using the land use transition matrix from 2000 to 2010, which is representative to show the LUCC due to afforestation. The corresponding future period 2020–2030 was selected to perform runoff simulation under the 2020 land use scenario, and the simulations were compared to the results for the baseline period.

Numerous studies have shown the impacts of LUCC on streamflow. Wei et al. (2007) classified 131 rainfall events into three regimes to analyze the runoff and soil loss in relation to different land use types. Runoff simulations under prescribed land use scenarios indicated that runoff increased when the grassland area increased and forestland decreased (Wang et al., 2008). Kathumo et al. (2011) found a strong relationship between streamflow and land use/land cover with a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.84 in the River Gucha catchment, Kenya. Dixon and Earls (2012) used the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) to examine the effects of urbanization on streamflow. Simulations also showed that land use change can result in changes in surface runoff and groundwater recharge (Baker and Miller, 2013). Shi et al. (2014) analyzed the responsive relationship between the surface runoff variation and the major landscapes, suggesting that the conversion from forestland to farmland and grassland resulted in increases in mean annual surface runoff and total runoff. These results show that runoff can be an effective indicator of LUCC in watersheds (Bewket and Sterk, 2005).

Because of complicated driving factors and significant variations in LUCC (Li et al., 2010), it is challenging to predict LUCC precisely given the changing economy, land use policy, and natural variables (Iacono et al., 2012; Li and Huang, 2015). Previous studies used various approaches to depict LUCC, considering economic growth, population, social policies, and mutual feedbacks among them. A dynamic system was set up to model LUCC using different driving forces (e.g., land use management and population growth), and the future LUCC was simulated under different socio-economic policies, providing reference for decision making for sustainable development (Yu et al., 2011). The Markov chain model is a simple probabilistic land use change model based on the Markov process. In the process the current state depends only on its most adjacent previous period, and the transition can be described by transition probability matrices (Iacono et al., 2012). A Cellular Automata-Markov (CA-Markov) model was used to simulate forest cover changes of a national park to evaluate pre and post policy interventions, indicating positive effects of national level policy (Adhikari and Southworth, 2012). Changes in the relationships between precipitation and streamflow can also be indicative of LUCC (Gupta et al., 2015).

Runoff changes reflect the compound effects of LUCC and climate change. Some previous studies used the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5) data set to analyze the impacts of climate change under different scenarios (Eisner et al., 2017; Eum et al., 2016; Neupane et al., 2015; Teklesadik et al., 2017). Results of the coupled climate model HadGEM2-AO reveal increases in precipitation over tropical regions but decreases over subtropical regions, given the expansion of Hadley cell over the 21st century. In addition, changes in soil moisture and sea ice under different representative concentration pathways (RCPs) also show spatial variability (Baek et al., 2013). Extreme precipitation events were evaluated over the Columbia River basin during 1970–1999 and 2041–2070 under the RCP8.5 scenario by simulating four CMIP5 general circulation model (GCMs) (Dars, 2013). Though the mean precipitation is projected to decrease, the extreme precipitation events show significant increases in some regions. In addition, investigation into runoff extremes in the future has been done at a finer resolution than GCMs over the Pacific Northwest, using regional climate models projections (Najafi and Moradkhani, 2015). Moreover, some studies evaluated the impacts of climate change on streamflow to formulate water management strategies (Basheer et al., 2015; Ouyang et al., 2015). Previous studies have examined the accuracy of some outputs of GCMs in China (Chen and Frauenfeld, 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2016), and there is a consensus that the resolution of the raw output of GCMs is too coarse to describe hydrological processes at regional scales (Dars, 2013; Ouyang et al., 2015; Wilby, 2004; Wilby et al., 1999; Ahmadalipour et al., 2017).

A number of studies have evaluated the impacts of climate change on runoff using multiple GCMs and hydrological models (Eisner et al., 2017; Teklesadik et al., 2017). However, climate modeling factors can also influence the variance of streamflow. Experiments using varied factors (e.g., GCM type, project phase, emission scenario, downscaling method, and bias correction) indicated that the predicted streamflow is a function of modeling factors, and it is unnecessary to consider all the factors as long as the ensemble design is well balanced (Aamery et al., 2016). Future impacts of LUCC and climate change on runoff can be evaluated simultaneously. In the Brahmaputra River basin, sensitivities of ET, streamflow, total water yield, groundwater recharge to CO$_2$ concentration, temperature, and precipitation changes were evaluated, indicating that ET and groundwater recharge are more sensitive to changes in CO$_2$ concentration and precipitation, respectively (Pervez and Henebry, 2015). More studies focusing on LUCC and climate change or their impacts on streamflow can be found in Table 1.

The overall objective of this study is to systematically investigate both impacts of LUCC (i.e., mainly afforestation) and climate change (i.e., mainly variations in precipitation) on future runoff for the upper reaches of the Luanhe River (i.e., the upper Panjiakou Reservoir). This objective of this study was achieved by performing these following four steps: (1) runoff simulation for the baseline period 1961–1979 under the 1970 land use scenario using SWAT; (2) prediction of the land use/land cover in 2020 using CA-Markov; (3) runoff simulation for the period 2020–2030 under different land use/land cover scenarios (1970 and 2020) and four RCPs (i.e., RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5); and (4) examination of both impacts of LUCC and climate change scenarios on future runoff (2020–2030). Results of this study should improve our understanding of variations in runoff for the study basin under a range of LUCC and climate change scenarios, and will provide reference for formulating adaptation strategies for better water resources management in the future. This will also benefit water diversion projects of the Luanhe River for its neighboring megacities in North China, with important implications for other water diversion projects in China and globally under a changing environment.

2. Study area and data

2.1. Study area

The Luanhe River basin is on the north of the North China Plain in the Haihe River basin, ranging from 39°02'N-42°43'N and 115°34'E-119°50'E (Fig. 1). The Luanhe River rises at the northern foot of the Bayanguertu Mountain in Zhangjiakou Prefecture of Hebei Province, flowing through 27 counties of Hebei Province, Inner Mongolia and Liaoning Province, and ending up in the Bohai Bay in Laoting County (Liu, 2012). Almost 500 tributaries flow into the pinnate Luanhe River water system along the way (Li, 2005). The Luanhe River spans 888 km controlling ~44,750 km$^2$ area, bordered by the Chaobai River and Jiyun River to the southwest and adjacent to the Bohai Sea in the south.
Table 1
Previous studies on LUC and climate change or their impacts on streamflow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area/period</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iacono et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Predicted future land use distributions from historical land use data from 1958 to 2005 based on a Markov chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhikari and Southworth (2012)</td>
<td>Hypothetical land cover scenarios without any policy intervention were constructed by a CA-Markov model using remotely sensed data to evaluate the effects of protected areas on forest cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Considering land use management, population growth, and LUC, a system dynamic model was set up to simulate the LUC in Daqing City driven by three different socioeconomic policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deng et al. (2015)</td>
<td>A weather research and forecasting (WRF) model and corresponding land use and land cover data were used to simulate the indicators of water and energy balances to evaluate the impacts of LUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon and Earls (2012)</td>
<td>Predicted streamflow with various land use scenarios using real and simulated meteorological data to examine applicability of SWAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teklesadik et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Analyzed the impacts of future climate change on discharge and ET using four GCMs and six hydrological models (HMs) (i.e., SWAT, SWATl, WaterGAP3, Mhm, VIC, and HBV) under different RCPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Based on a Budyko-based approach, factors (i.e., precipitation, potential ET and total water storage) that affect intra-annual ET and streamflow variability were evaluated GCM type, project phase, emission scenario, downscaling method and bias correction were selected as climate modeling factors to quantify their relative importance to choose response variables to control the variance of forecasted streamflow. Outputs of GCM and SWAT were used to simulate 112 climate realizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aamery et al. (2016)</td>
<td>The water balance model was used to project change in water yield and evaluate the effects of land cover change on runoff. Change trends and abrupt change points were identified by the Mann-Kendall method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
<td>The Luhan River basin can generally be divided into three geomorphological units, i.e., plateau, mountain, and plain, in terms of different geology, geomorphology, and formation types. The plateau topography is mainly located in the northern part of the basin with elevations ranging between 1400 and 1600 m. The mountainous regions are located on the south of the plateau and on the north of the plain, with slopes ranging between 20° and 40°. The plain is distributed in the south of the basin (Li, 2005).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basin is characterized by decreasing temperatures from south to north ranging from 10.6 °C to −1.4 °C, and the highest and lowest temperatures appear in July and January reaching 40 °C and −26 °C, respectively (Liu, 2012). Interannual and intra-annual variations in precipitation are marked. The mean annual precipitation for the entire basin is 390–800 mm that mainly falls in July and August. In addition, the precipitation in wetter years can be 1.7–3.5 times of the driest year (Li, 2005). The dividing line of the temperate zone and warm temperate zone passes through the basin. As a result, the basin is characterized by temperate grassland and warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest vegetation from north to south (Li, 2005). In this study, we selected the upper reaches of the Luhanhe River to evaluate the impacts of LUCC and climate change on streamflow. The Sandohehezi gauging station (hereafter termed as the SDHZ station) was selected to evaluate the change in streamflow. The drainage area of the SDHZ station is ∼17,100 km², including the most afforested region in the upper reaches of the Luhanhe River basin.

2.2. Data

2.2.1. Meteorological data

Meteorological data used in this study were obtained from the Meteorological Data Center of the China Meteorological Administration (http://data.cma.cn/), including annual/daily average temperature, annual/daily average maximum and minimum temperatures, annual/daily average precipitation, average daily relative humidity, average daily wind speed, daily sunshine duration and average daily vapor pressure from 1951 through 2014. The daily meteorological data during 1995–2014 were used to calculate the parameters of the weather generator to fill gaps of meteorological data. In addition, we used the China Gauge-based Daily Precipitation Analysis (CDDPA) product from 1961 to 1979 to drive SWAT. CDDPA is a daily precipitation product based on a national surface observational network with a high resolution (0.25° × 0.25° or ∼27 km × 27 km) over Mainland China (Shen and Xiong, 2016).

Furthermore, we selected data sets from five GCMs: GFDL-ESM2M, HadGEM2-ES, IPSL-CM5A-LR, MIROC-ESM-CHEM and NorESM1-M (Table 2), which were bias-corrected and downscaled at a 0.5° × 0.5° spatial resolution to the WATCH Forcing Data (WFD, Weeden et al., 2011) in the fast-track of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Inter-comparison Project (ISI-MIP) (Liu et al., 2013). The WFD combines the ERA-40 daily data (i.e., the daily reanalysis data) and the Climate Research Unit TS2.1 data set (CRU, with observed time series of monthly variations in the climate), and the monthly mean precipitation is corrected with the Global Precipitation Climatology Centre full data set version 4 (GPCC) (Hempel et al., 2013). The ISI-MIP focuses on advancing our knowledge on climate change risks and the quantitative and cross-sectoral synthesis of different impacts of climate change, covering global water, agriculture, biodiversity and many other aspects (https://esg.pik-potsdam.de/projects/isimip2a/). The bias-corrected data sets could reflect changes in climate variability and atmosphere mean and could better investigate the effects of extreme hydrological events (Hempel et al., 2013; Leng et al., 2015). The projected meteorological data sets (i.e., daily precipitation/maximum and minimum temperatures/relative humidity/wind speed/solar radiation) from 2020 to 2030 were derived from these five GCMs.

Based on the subbasins partitioned by SWAT, the centroid of each grid cell of precipitation and subbasin was determined. Then, the value of the nearest grid cell of precipitation was taken to represent the precipitation of the subbasin. In addition, if the subbasin was large enough to cover more than one grid cell, the mean precipitation value of these grid cells were calculated and assigned to the subbasin. Finally, the precipitation was allocated to each HRU in the subbasin, i.e., precipitation was allocated to each HRU in the subbasin, i.e., pre-
2.2.2. Land use and other data

Six land use and land cover maps spanning from 1970 to 2014 were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The types of land use were further divided into six categories according to the land use classification system of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, i.e., agriculture land, forestland, grassland, water, construction land, and unused land (Table 3). The main land use types of the Luanhe River basin include agriculture land, forestland, and grassland, accounting for more than 90% area of the entire basin. Marked LUCC has been found across the basin, featured by increased forestland but deceased

Fig. 1. Location and elevations of the Luanhe River basin, weather stations, gauging stations, forest farms, reservoirs, main cities in the Luanhe River basin, and the study area (the upper reaches shown in the pink polygon). The gauging station named Sandaohezi was abbreviated as SDHZ in the figure. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Modeling center</th>
<th>Resolution (Lon. × Lat.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPSL-CM5A-LR</td>
<td>L’Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace</td>
<td>720 × 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM2M</td>
<td>NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory</td>
<td>720 × 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadGEM2-ES</td>
<td>Met Office Hadley Centre and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais</td>
<td>720 × 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC-ESM-CHEM</td>
<td>Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies</td>
<td>720 × 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM1-M</td>
<td>Norwegian Climate Centre</td>
<td>720 × 360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
agricultural and grassland. For instance, the forested area increased by \( \approx 8700 \text{ km}^2 \ (\approx 45\%) \) during 2000–2014, yet it remained generally stable and even slightly decreased since 2010. On the contrary, agriculture land decreased considerably during 2000–2014, with the area decreased by \( \approx 3900 \text{ km}^2 \ (\approx 23\%) \). The area of grassland also decreased, with its fraction decreasing from \( \approx 26\% \) in 2000 to \( \approx 15\% \) in 2014. The overall trend of land use change in the study area (upper reaches) is quite similar to the trend in the entire basin during 1970–2014; however, the trend of land use change in the study area is not that significant until 2000.

Monthly streamflow data of the SDHZ station for the period 1961–2011 were derived from Year Book of Hydrologic Data of the People’s Republic of China: Hydrological Data of the Haihe River Basin. The DEM of the study region was obtained from 90 m \( \times \) 90 m SRTM DEM data set V1 provided by the Cold and Arid Regions Sciences Data Center at Lanzhou, China (http://westdc.westgis.ac.cn). Soil data were derived from the Soil Database of China generated by the Nanjing Institute of Soil, the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Markov chain model and cellular automata-markov

The Markov process is a random process that a system undergoes transitions from one state to another, the properties of which include no aftereffect (i.e., the current state of a system just depends on the last adjacent period) and stability (Du et al., 2015). If both the time series and events of the process are discrete, we call the Markov process as the Markov chain (Iacono et al., 2012). Eq. (1) describes the properties of the Markov chain:

\[
P \times D_t = D_{t+1}
\]

where \( P \) is the transition probability matrix, \( D_t \) and \( D_{t+1} \) are the land use at time \( t \) and \( t + 1 \), respectively. Each type of land use is projected by the probability \( p_{ij} \) of land use \( i \) transformed into land use \( j \) as follows:

\[
p_{ij} = \frac{A_{ij}}{A_i}
\]

### Table 3

Areas and percentages (in brackets) of the Luanhe River basin and study area (underlined values) for the period 1970–2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Agriculture land (km²/%)</th>
<th>Forestland (km²/%)</th>
<th>Grassland (km²/%)</th>
<th>Water (km²/%)</th>
<th>Construction land (km²/%)</th>
<th>Unused land (km²/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>16,420 (30.3)</td>
<td>18,960 (35.0)</td>
<td>14,520 (26.8)</td>
<td>1,330 (2.5)</td>
<td>1280 (2.4)</td>
<td>1,710 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>16,840 (31.1)</td>
<td>19,340 (35.7)</td>
<td>14,490 (26.7)</td>
<td>570 (1.1)</td>
<td>1,260 (2.3)</td>
<td>1,720 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>15,730 (29.0)</td>
<td>23,410 (43.2)</td>
<td>12,180 (22.5)</td>
<td>710 (1.3)</td>
<td>1,350 (2.5)</td>
<td>810 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>17,110 (31.6)</td>
<td>19,140 (35.3)</td>
<td>14,250 (26.3)</td>
<td>540 (1.0)</td>
<td>1,350 (2.5)</td>
<td>1,720 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13,490 (25.0)</td>
<td>27,850 (51.6)</td>
<td>8,150 (15.1)</td>
<td>560 (1.0)</td>
<td>3,030 (5.6)</td>
<td>890 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13,220 (24.5)</td>
<td>27,800 (51.5)</td>
<td>8,130 (15.1)</td>
<td>550 (1.0)</td>
<td>3,140 (6.3)</td>
<td>890 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

Look up table of land use types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use types</th>
<th>Agriculture land</th>
<th>Forestland</th>
<th>Grassland</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Construction land</th>
<th>Unused land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWAT code</td>
<td>AGRR</td>
<td>FRST</td>
<td>PAST</td>
<td>WATR</td>
<td>URML</td>
<td>SWRN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAT plant growth database</td>
<td>Agriculture land-row crops</td>
<td>Forest-mixed</td>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Residential-med/low density</td>
<td>Arid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evaluate the predicted land use map of 2014, including Kappa for no information \((K_{\text{nu}})\), Kappa for location \((K_{\text{location}})\), Kappa for stratum-level location \((K_{\text{locationstrata}})\), and Kappa for standard \((K_{\text{standard}})\), with values above 80% indicating good performance of prediction (Gashaw et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2015). After the evaluation, the land use maps of 2000 and 2010 were imported into IDRISI to create the transition matrix and predict the land use in 2020 using the transition matrix, the 2010 land use map, and the suitability map.

### 3.2. Soil water assessment tool

SWAT is a public domain hydrologic model that can be applied from small watersheds to large river basins to simulate quantity and quality of surface and groundwater (Abbaspour et al., 2007; Dixon and Earls, 2012; Teshager et al., 2016). Time steps of simulation using SWAT can be daily, monthly and yearly (http://swat.tamu.edu/). The model has been widely used due to the solid physical mechanism that can be applied to complex large watersheds (Li, 2008; Yu et al., 2008). Input data of SWAT include DEM, soil, land use, and weather. In addition, look up tables of land use (Table 4) and soil should be written to connect with the database built in SWAT.

Parameters of the weather generator were calculated by WGNMaker 4.1 (http://swat.tamu.edu/). Here we chose Chengde, Laoting, Duolun, Qinglong, and Weichang weather stations (Fig. 1) and calculated the parameters to fill gaps in meteorological data. Inputs of WGNMaker 4.1 include daily precipitation, daily highest and lowest temperature, and daily maximum precipitation in half an hour. For lack of the daily maximum precipitation in half an hour, the data were estimated by using daily precipitation divided by 48 approximately. Daily solar radiation and dew temperatures as inputs were calculated by equations in Appendix A.

Land use scenarios in 1970 and 2020 were used in the SWAT simulation. First, under the land use scenario of 1970 and driven by the CGDPA precipitation, monthly streamflow was calibrated for the period 1961–1975 with the initial two years set as the warm-up period, and validated for the period 1976-1979. In order to fully calibrate the model under the 1970 land use and ensure that the year 1970 was included during calibration, we selected the longer period 1963–1975 for calibration and the period 1976–1979 for validation, given that the streamflow was influenced significantly by human activities after the 1980s. The model was calibrated manually and automatically using the SUFI-2 algorithm by SWAT-CUP and 16 parameters were selected and identified (Table 5). The coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) and Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient \((E_{\text{nse}})\) were used to evaluate the model performance. However, the spring flood in April at the SDHZ station could not be simulated well by SWAT, because the spring flood is more related to river thawing (recorded by Year Book of Hydrologic Data of the People’s Republic of China: Hydrological Data of the Haihe River Basin) whose mechanism is not depicted in this model. Therefore, we proposed an empirical method to correct for the poor simulations of spring flood in April (see Section 4.3).

Second, we used the outputs from five GCMs under four RCPs and the 2020 land use scenario to drive SWAT and simulate streamflow during 2020–2030. Third, we used the land use map of 1970 to replace the land use map of 2020, and simulated streamflow for the same period to quantify the impacts of LUCC on runoff. Note that when the land use map was changed from 2020 to 1970, the initial parameters of SWAT related to land use (i.e., CN2) were subsequently adjusted automatically (e.g., the area weighted average of CN2 for a subbasin is 68 in 2020 and 78 in 1970). Given the calibrated value of the relative change in CN2 (e.g., ~10%), the initial value of CN2 can be adjusted automatically under a different land use scenario. Therefore, CN2 values under different simulation scenarios are changed accordingly with land use maps.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Projected precipitation from general circulation models

Precipitation plays a critical role in runoff simulation, and the variability in precipitation leads to a complicated hydrological regime (Houston, 2006; Moulin et al., 2008; Saifullah et al., 2016). For ease of expression, outputs from different GCMs under RCPs are hereafter referred to as the combination of the abbreviated names of GCMs and the representative numbers of RCPs (e.g., GFDL26 represents the output from GFDL-ESM2M under the RCP2.6 scenario). The projected precipitation by the five GCMs under four RCPs for the study area (the upper reaches of the Luanne River basin) shows the mean annual precipitation of 458 mm (4% increase), 458 mm (4% increase), 444 mm (1% increase) and 470 mm (7% increase) under RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5, respectively, relative to the mean annual precipitation of 441 mm from CGDPA precipitation for the baseline period.

---

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFTMP</td>
<td>Snowfall temperature (°C).</td>
<td>.bsn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMFMMX</td>
<td>Melt factor for snow on June 21 (mm H2O/°C-day).</td>
<td>.bsn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMFMN</td>
<td>Melt factor for snow on December 21 (mm H2O/°C-day).</td>
<td>.bsn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA_BF</td>
<td>Baseflow alpha factor (days).</td>
<td>.rte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW_DELAY</td>
<td>Groundwater delay time (days).</td>
<td>.gw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWQMN</td>
<td>Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer required for return flow to occur (mm H2O).</td>
<td>.gw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW_REVAP</td>
<td>Groundwater “revap” coefficient.</td>
<td>.gw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVAMPN</td>
<td>Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer for “revap” or percolation to the deep aquifer to occur (mm H2O).</td>
<td>.gw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESFO</td>
<td>Soil evaporation compensation factor.</td>
<td>.hru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOPE</td>
<td>Average slope steepness (m/m).</td>
<td>.hru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN2</td>
<td>Initial SCS runoff curve number for moisture condition II.</td>
<td>.mgt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH_K(2)</td>
<td>Effective hydraulic conductivity in main channel alluvium (mm/hr).</td>
<td>.rte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA_BNK</td>
<td>Baseflow alpha factor for bank storage (days).</td>
<td>.rte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOL_AWC</td>
<td>Available water capacity of the soil layer (mm H2O/mm soil).</td>
<td>.sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOL_BD</td>
<td>Saturated hydraulic conductivity (mm/hr).</td>
<td>.sol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Type denotes the file where the parameter is located. *dot*.bsn*: the basin file; * dot*.gw*: the groundwater file; * dot*.hru*: the HRU file; * dot*.mgt*: the HRU management file; * dot*.rte*: the main channel file; and * dot*.sol*: the soil file.
1961–1979. The precipitation is mainly concentrated in July and August, and some of the future precipitation (e.g., Had45, Had85 and Nor26) is much higher than the historical level reflected by CGDPA data for the period 1961–1979, implying large possibility of flooding in summer in the future. Specifically, July shows the highest monthly precipitation in a year among all the GCMs and RCP scenarios except MIROC26 showing the highest precipitation in August (Fig. 2a).

The basin belongs to the warm temperate zone monsoon climate with four distinct seasons, characterized by hot and rainy summer, cold and dry winter, and dry spring. We looked into projected seasonal precipitation, i.e., spring (i.e., MAM), summer (i.e., JJA), fall (i.e., SON), and winter (DJF). The mean precipitation from all GCMs and RCPs for the period 2020–2030 in the four seasons is 63 mm (9% increase), 312 mm (4% increase), 77 mm (10% increase), and 6 mm (45% decrease), respectively, compared with the observed mean precipitation of 58 mm, 301 mm, 70 mm, and 11 mm in the four seasons from CGDPA data (1961–1979) (Fig. 2b). The strongest increase and decrease in precipitation occur in fall under RCP8.5 and in winter under RCP6.0, showing relative differences of 20% and −52%, respectively, compared with the CGDPA precipitation (1961–1979). The decrease in precipitation only occurs in winter (−45%, −43%, −52%, and −45% for RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5, respectively), and the other seasons become wetter (Figs. 2b and 3). Variations in atmospheric general circulation in East Asia and the Okhotsk Sea are related to the changing precipitation in spring and summer in the North China Plain (Ma et al., 2010).

Fig. 2. (a) Mean monthly precipitation among the five GCMs and four RCPs for the period 2020–2030. The mean monthly precipitation from CGDPA (black) was calculated for the period 1961–1979; and (b) Seasonal precipitation under four RCPs and from CGDPA data.

Fig. 3. (a) Mean annual precipitation and its seasonal contributions from five GCMs under four RCPs for the period 2020–2030, and the mean values denote the mean annual precipitation for all GCMs for each RCP as well as the mean annual precipitation from CGDPA (the red line and the last bar) for the period 1961–1979; and (b) variations in seasonal precipitation from five GCMs under four RCPs for the period 2020–2030 with respect to CGDPA for the period 1961–1979. Positive (negative) values denote increases (decreases) in precipitation for the period 2020–2030. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 4. Land use maps of the Luanhe River basin in 1970, 2000, 2010 and 2020 (predicted using CA-Markov).
4.2. Prediction of land use change

4.2.1. Prediction of the land use scenario in 2020

Based on the land use transition matrix from 2000 to 2010 and the land use map in 2010 as well as the suitability map, the land use map of 2014 was simulated using CA-Markov. Then the Kappa indices were used to validate the accuracy of CA-Markov by comparing the simulated land use map with the observed land use map in 2014, showing $K_{\text{no of}}$ of 86.2%, $K_{\text{location}}$ of 84.5%, $K_{\text{locationStrata}}$ of 84.5%, and $K_{\text{standard}}$ of 82.2% all higher than 80%. This indicates good projections of land use in the future (Behera et al., 2012).

We used CA-Markov and the land use maps in 2000 and 2010 to predict the land use scenario in 2020 (Fig. 4). Before 2000, the land use in the Luanhe River basin changed slowly with limited change areas of different land use types. During 2000–2014, the land use changed dramatically, particularly for forestland, grassland, and agriculture land, with the proportion changed by 16%, 11%, and 7%, respectively. From 2000 to 2010, the areas of grassland and agriculture land decreased at a rate of $\sim$610 km$^2$/yr and $\sim$360 km$^2$/yr, respectively, but the area of forestland increased at a rate of $\sim$870 km$^2$/yr. We further analyzed changes in the 8-day leaf area index (LAI), 8-day clumping index (CI), and annual tree cover from MODIS data for the period 2001–2016 (Fig. 5). The LAI in most of the Luanhe River basin increased from 2001 to 2016, indicating a denser vegetation. The CI decreased, indicating that leaves become more clumped. Both the increased LAI and decreased CI are attributed to the increasing proportion of tree cover (Fig. 5(c)), because the LAI of trees is often larger than herbaceous vegetation and therefore shows lower CI values.

Hebei Province implemented the afforestation project since 1999 in Zhangjiakou and Chengde Cities and this project will continue by 2021.

Table 6
Comparison between land use scenarios of 1970 and 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use type</th>
<th>Entire Luanhe River basin</th>
<th>Study area (upper reaches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970 (km$^2$/%)</td>
<td>2020 (km$^2$/%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>16,420 (30.3)</td>
<td>11,580 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestland</td>
<td>18,960 (35.0)</td>
<td>29,640 (55.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>14,520 (26.8)</td>
<td>7310 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1330 (2.5)</td>
<td>740 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1280 (2.4)</td>
<td>3930 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused land</td>
<td>1710 (3.2)</td>
<td>720 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7
Land use transition matrix of the study area for the period 1970–2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Agriculture land (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Forest (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Grassland (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Water (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Construction land (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Unused land (km$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>124 (−67.3)</td>
<td>4461</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>395 (−7.19)</td>
<td>2064 (1657)</td>
<td>3784</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>56 (39)</td>
<td>50 (38)</td>
<td>15 (−5)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>5 (−101)</td>
<td>3 (−2)</td>
<td>4 (−5.3)</td>
<td>1 (−2)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>204 (1.66)</td>
<td>192 (171)</td>
<td>427 (107)</td>
<td>8 (−9)</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The values in parentheses mean the net area of land use types in 1970 converted into land use types in 2020, e.g., the value of −673 is the net area that the forest in 1970 is converted into agriculture land in 2020. This value was calculated by the area of forest converted into agriculture land (i.e., row 2 and column 1 in the table: 124 km$^2$) minus the area of agriculture land in 1970 converted into forest in 2020 (i.e., row 1 and column 2 in the table: 797 km$^2$).
The forest coverage rate at three tree farms (i.e., the Saibei Tree Farm at Zhangjiakou, Qiansongba Tree Farm at Fengning County in Chengde, and Yudaokou Tree Farm at Weichang County in Chengde) is expected to reach ∼73%. Nowadays, the forest coverage rate of Chengde has increased to ∼57%, which is highest in North China and its surrounding mountainous areas (Fig. 5). These tree farms function as the first barrier against sandstorms in North China and have largely defended Beijing from the sandstorm during recent years. Furthermore, forests enhance the capacity of rainfall retention by conserving water through canopy and vegetation, soil, and litter layer under it. Most of the conserved rainfall infiltrates and may contribute more lateral flow over the mountainous region (see Section 4.4.2).

4.2.2. Comparison of land use scenarios between 1970 and 2020

Here we compared the land use scenario in 2020 predicted by CA-Markov and the land use scenario in 1970, both of which were used to simulate the future runoff and evaluate the impacts of LUCC on runoff. Comparison shows that the areas of forestland and construction land increase but agriculture land, grassland, water bodies, and unused land decrease (Table 6). Across the entire Luanhe River basin, the proportion of grassland decreases from ∼27% in 1970 to ∼14% in 2020, with the area decreased by ∼7200 km². In contrast, the forestland increases by ∼10,700 km² with the proportion increased from ∼35% to ∼55%. Relative changes in water, construction land, and unused land are marked, but the proportions of them are small such that the changes may have less impact on runoff. Change trends in land use types in the study area (the upper reaches) are similar to those in the entire basin. However, the magnitude of change in grassland in the study area (−13%) is much smaller than that in the entire basin (−50%).

Furthermore, we calculated the transition matrix for the period.
1970–2020 (Table 7) in the study area to develop a better understanding of the connection between LUCC and possible changes in the hydrological regime. Results show that the increasing area in forestland is mainly transformed from grassland (∼1700 km²) and agriculture land (∼700 km²). Meanwhile, some of the agriculture land and the unused land are transformed into grassland, so that even though a large proportion of grassland is transformed into forest, only a relatively small net decrease in grassland occurs (i.e., a relative change of −13%). Furthermore, the areas of water, construction land, and unused land change remarkably. The increasing construction land would increase more impervious surfaces, and more rainfall would therefore be converted into surface runoff. Both afforestation and urbanization promote the transformation among different land use types and influence runoff in the basin profoundly.

4.3. Model calibration and validation and correction for simulated spring flood

The SWAT model was calibrated for the period 1961–1975 with the initial two years as the warm-up period and validated for the period 1976–1979. Prior to correction for simulated spring flood, $R^2$ and $E_{ns}$ for the calibration period are 0.74 and 0.67, respectively, and are 0.80 and 0.71 for the validation period, respectively (Fig. 6). Note that the higher metrics of the validation than those of the calibration are in part related to the sample size, with 156 and 48 during the calibration and validation periods, respectively. Some studies also have shown similar results of higher metrics in a shorter validation period than those for a longer calibration period (Shawul et al., 2013; Thampi et al., 2010). Even though the values of metrics are acceptable for both calibration and validation periods, the spring flood in April could not be simulated well. We assume that the spring flood is associated with the total runoff in a year. Our analysis indicated that the ratio of observed spring flood to total runoff has a significant logarithmic correlation with the annual runoff during 1963–2000 (Fig. 7(a)). To correct for the simulated future spring flood, the relationship mentioned above needs to be translated into the relationship between the total runoff in a year excluding the runoff in April and the ratio of it to the total runoff in a year (Fig. 7(b)) (e.g., assuming that the spring flood in a year is 10 mm and the total runoff in a year is 50 mm, the relationship was built between the ratio of 0.8 (40/50) and 40 mm). The mean annual ratio of spring flood to total runoff in a year from 1963 to 2000 was found to be 0.12, so it was set as the upper bound for correcting for the simulated spring flood if the ratio of the total runoff excluding spring flood was greater than one. The ratio of spring flood was corrected by:
channels and better managing the operation of reservoirs to adapt to the increasing streamflow and realize the maximum benefits of reservoirs (Middelkoop et al., 2001).

Moreover, mean monthly runoff simulations during 2020–2030 for five cases (GFDL26, Had26, Had60, Nor26 and Nor85) show the maximum in July, but those for the other 15 cases occur in August, consistent with the timing of the observed mean monthly streamflow during 1961–1979 (Fig. 9). The mean summer runoff depth increases most significantly, showing 32 mm under RCP4.5, 100% higher than the observed mean seasonal runoff depth of 16 mm for the period 1961–1979. Overall, the mean seasonal runoff depth for the period 2020–2030 is higher than the observed runoff for the period 1961–1979 except in spring (Fig. 9(c)).

Although precipitation only decreases in winter, runoff decreases in spring, suggesting that the change in runoff is not determined only by precipitation. Changes in ET and vegetation may also influence the variation in the simulated runoff, and the increased forestland can be an important factor. The mean annual runoff coefficient in spring and winter is ~0.1 and ~0.5 during 2020–2030, respectively, compared to ~0.1 and ~0.2 for the period 1961–1979. The increased future runoff coefficient in winter may be related to the increased future precipitation in summer and fall, which may lead to soil moisture storage replenished greatly and more runoff generated in winter (Brown et al., 2013). In addition, the decreased runoff in spring in the future may also be related to the uncertainty in the correction for the simulated spring flood to some degree.

4.4. Predicting runoff for the period 2020–2030 under different land use and climate change scenarios

4.4.1. Under the 2020 land use and different climate change scenarios

Based on the calibrated model, runoff under the land use scenario in 2020 during 2020–2030 was simulated and compared to the simulated runoff under the land use scenario in 1970 (see Section 4.4.2), assuming that the responsive relationship between climate variables and runoff will remain the same (Kamga, 2001). The projected runoff under the 2020 land use scenario varies with different RCPs (Fig. 8). The maximum (57 mm) and minimum (50 mm) mean annual runoff depths are under RCP4.5 and RCP6.0, respectively, with RCP8.5 (54 mm) and RCP2.6 (52 mm) in between. All these simulated runoff depths in the future are higher (39%-58%) than the observed mean annual runoff of 36 mm for the baseline period (1961–1979). Furthermore, the streamflow extremes become more intense, showing the maximum mean monthly streamflow during 2020–2030 of more than 80 m³/s under four RCPs (occurring in July or August) (Fig. 9(b)), compared with the observed maximum mean monthly streamflow of ~50 m³/s from 1961 to 1979. Certain measures may therefore be taken to reduce possible flood disasters, including enhancing the discharge capacity of river channels and better managing the operation of reservoirs to adapt to the increasing streamflow and realize the maximum benefits of reservoirs (Middelkoop et al., 2001).
decreases in runoff in spring, fall and winter, but increases in summer, resulting in slightly decreased mean annual runoff relative to the 1970 land use scenario. This highlights that the future change in runoff over this basin is likely to be dominated by climate change, though considerable LUCC would be taking place.

The impacts of forest on runoff are mainly realized through affecting canopy interception, infiltration, and ET processes. Previous studies have found that the land use/land cover transition would lead to changes in ET and infiltration and consequently changes in water to regional aquifers (Baker and Miller, 2013; Baldyga et al., 2008). Some studies pointed out that decreases in forestland cause increases in runoff (Siriwardena et al., 2006) or water yield (Zhang et al., 2014). To further look into the reason for the change in runoff, we set up a new SWAT project with the SDHZ station as outlet, for which the precipitation of the study area remained constant under the two land use scenarios, as different land use scenarios would result in different partitioning of HRUs (e.g., 280 and 291 HRUs for the 1970 and 2020 land use scenarios in our study area, respectively) and therefore different hydrological response mechanisms. This analysis reduced the impact of neighboring subbasins and only focused on the change in hydrological response within the study area. Changes in multiple runoff components caused by LUCC in our study area under 20 scenarios (5 GCMs × 4 RCPs) were analyzed.

Absolute changes in mean annual ET, water percolation past bottom of soil profile (PERC), surface runoff generated in the watershed (SURQ), groundwater contribution to stream (GWQ), and the lateral flow contribution to streamflow in watershed (LATQ) between the 2020 land use scenario and the 1970 land use scenario for the entire study area; (b) the same as (a) but for subbasins with changes in water area less than 50%; and (c) the same as (a) but for subbasins with changes in water area greater than 50%.

Fig. 11. (a) Absolute changes (the values under the 2020 land use scenario minus the values under the 1970 land use scenario) of mean annual ET, water percolation past bottom of soil profile (PERC), surface runoff generated in the watershed (SURQ), groundwater contribution to stream (GWQ), and the lateral flow contribution to streamflow in watershed (LATQ) between the 2020 land use scenario and the 1970 land use scenario for the entire study area; (b) the same as (a) but for subbasins with changes in water area less than 50%; and (c) the same as (a) but for subbasins with changes in water area greater than 50%.

Fig. 12. Averaged proportions of the mean annual values of six outputs: groundwater contribution to streamflow (GWQ), lateral flow contribution to streamflow (LATQ), water that percolates past the root zone (PERC), surface runoff generated in the watershed (SURQ), soil water content (SW) and water yield (WYLD) (i.e., the net amount of water that leaves the subbasin and contributes to streamflow) to the corresponding mean annual precipitation among 20 cases (5 GCMs × 4 RCPs). (a) and (b) Represent the proportions under the 1970 and 2020 land use scenarios, respectively. The vertical black line in both figures partitions the subbasins into the plateau (i.e., subbasins Nos. 1–9) and mountainous regions (i.e., subbasins Nos. 10–19).
5. Discussion

5.1. Impacts of increased forestland on runoff

Quantifying the impacts of changes in forestland on water availability is of great importance to understand hydrological processes for regions with marked afforestation or deforestation, and thereby to the implementation and assessment of ecological restoration projects. Some studies reveal that the impacts of forests are associated with the area of basin and mainly exert on the baseflow (Shi and Li, 2001). During low flow season, the decrease in forestland reduces ET and interception, and then results in increases in soil water content and groundwater recharge, finally resulting in the increase in low flow (Zhang and Wei, 2012). In our study area, the increased forestland results in more LATQ, though SURQ, GWQ, and streamflow all decrease. Lateral flow is dominant for hill slope topography, and plateau is considered to be inactive in the rainfall-runoff process (Hoang et al., 2017; Savenije, 2010). Furthermore, mountain regions with hill slopes are mostly forested and plateaus with modest slopes are distributed with grassland and agricultural land (Savenije, 2010). So the increased forestland mainly occurs in the mountainous region and the deeper root of forests can facilitate generation of interflow produced by infiltrated water (Becker and Mcdonnell, 1998).

We further selected a subbasin without water bodies and compared the change in hydrological fluxes resulting from LUCC (i.e., more forestland but less grassland, with agriculture land stable) under the 20 cases. Results show that ET and LATQ increase by up to ∼6 mm and ∼1 mm, respectively, but PERC, GWQ and SURQ decrease by up to ∼6 mm, ∼4 mm and ∼0.9 mm, respectively. Therefore, it can be speculated that the decrease in ET cross the study basin is likely related to the decrease in water area. The increase in forestland and decrease in both agricultural land and grassland can jointly result in decreases in PERC, SURQ, and GWQ, but increases in ET and LATQ in our study area. Description of the ET process for water bodies in SWAT needs to be further improved by considering the water balance so as to reduce the overestimation of ET for subbasins with water bodies, which may counteract the effect of afforestation on ET when water bodies decrease.

Fig. 13. Soil conservation service (SCS) curve numbers under average moisture (CN2) of each HRUs for the 1970 land use (a) and 2020 land use (b). The X axis represents the ID numbers of subbasins and the Y axis represents the ID numbers of HRUs in each subbasin.
Agricultural land and grassland are often distributed on the plateau with modest slopes, and the mountainous region with hill slopes is forested. Therefore, the amplitude and trend in LUCC vary with regions of different topography. On the other hand, different rainfall-runoff mechanisms for the plateau and mountainous regions further amplify or counteract the impacts of LUCC on runoff (e.g., lateral flow is the dominant runoff component in the mountainous region. Though the LUCC reduces water yield, the lateral flow still increases). Also, topography influences the spatial distribution of climate variables (i.e., precipitation and temperature), which needs to be well considered for regional climate projection (Savenije, 2010).

Given the unique topography in our study area with the plateau and mountain regions distributed from the upper reaches to the gauging station, lateral flow accounts for a large proportion of the simulated runoff and therefore determines the change in streamflow. Note that LUCC increases runoff in summer (∼0.3 mm) but reduces runoff in the other three seasons. On the one hand, precipitation is concentrated in summer here, and mean monthly potential ET is lower than precipitation in July under most scenarios. The relatively small potential ET indicates that more precipitation may be transformed into lateral flow, instead of actual ET in summer. Therefore, the impact of LUCC on increased LATQ is more significant, which finally increases runoff in summer. On the other hand, the marked decrease in SURQ up to −11% in summer also indicates that more water may infiltrate in the soil due to increased forestland.

5.2. Impacts of model structure on this analysis

The analysis of the impact of LUCC on runoff reflects the model structure to some degree. In SWAT, the soil conservation service (SCS) curve number (CN) for moisture conditions of each land use type is different. Overall, the order of CN values for different land use types from high to low is agricultural land, grassland, and forestland. The higher value indicates more runoff to be generated (Zeng et al., 2017). The area weighted average of initial CN2 (i.e., the curve number under average moisture) over the study area is 57 and 55 under the 1970 and 2020 land use scenarios, respectively. The distribution of CN2 values for each HRUs under the 1970 and 2020 land use scenarios is shown in Fig. 13. Our study basin was divided into 19 subbasins, further generating 280 HRUs and 291 HRUs under the 1970 and 2020 land use scenarios, respectively. Subbasins (Nos. 10–19) are mainly distributed in the mountainous region and the increased forestland mainly occurs across these subbasins. Therefore, the CN2 value is generally higher in the right half part of Fig. 13(a) (i.e., the 1970 land use scenario) than that of Fig. 13(b) (i.e., the 2020 land use scenario).

Furthermore, more HRUs were generated under the 2020 land use scenario compared to those under the 1970 land use scenario. During the partition of HRUs in SWAT, the threshold level for land use was set to zero to ensure that the land use would not be influenced by the HRUs partition, meaning that no land use would be eliminated during the partition process. Therefore, different numbers of HRUs further reveal variations in land use distribution (i.e., the changed area and location of different land use types). Table 7 shows that most of the agricultural land will be transformed into forestland (673 km²) and grassland (719 km²) from 1970 to 2020, with most of the forestland transformed from grassland (1657 km²). The increase in forestland is the main reason for the slightly decreased CN2 value. Based on the model mechanism, water yield of forestland is less than other land use types, which should result in less simulated streamflow under the 2020 land use scenario relative to that under the 1970 land use scenario. However, more factors, such as ET and infiltration can affect runoff generation, making interpretation of the results complicated.

Moreover, the partitioning of subbasins and HRUs in SWAT (e.g., the threshold level settings for land use, soil, and slope in the SWAT project determine the distribution of HRUs) would be different under different land use scenarios or basin outlets, affecting runoff generation. Nevertheless, the mechanism of runoff generation is complicated because of the synthesized effects of various land use types, complicated driving mechanisms, various human activities, as well as policy changes (Adhikari and Southworth, 2012; Wang et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2007). Future studies will focus on more reasonable prediction of LUCC and the mechanism of the impact of partitioning of subbasins and HRUs on runoff and hydrological processes.

5.3. Limitations of this study

This study is subjected to some limitations that need to be resolved in future work. First, the correction for spring flood is straightforward based on the logarithmic relationship between the total runoff in a year excluding the runoff in April and the ratio of it to the total runoff in a year. It would be more solid to account for the physical mechanism of the formation of spring flood associated with river thawing. Several factors, such as the date of the river freezing and thawing, and the channel discharge in the day when the river is frozen can be taken into account to improve the simulation of spring flood. Second, the prediction of the 2020 land use scenario is based on CA-Markov and mainly relies on the transition matrix from 2000 to 2010 land use maps. However, new land use management strategies may be implemented by the government during 2010–2020 that would change the 2020 land use and land cover scenario. In addition, the suitability maps for transition of different land use/land cover types are simplified, where only two constraints (i.e., slope and water body) are used to determine the suitable area of transformation from one land use type to others. Third, outputs of five GCMs under four RCPs were used to drive the SWAT model but the uncertainties have not been well examined. In addition, climate projections can be further corrected based on the local climate to better simulate the future runoff. Fourth, several simulated hydrological variables such as LATQ, GWQ, and PERC are difficult to examine due to the lack of observations. Therefore, the results are more of reflecting the model structure of SWAT.

6. Conclusion

The runoff of the Luanhe River basin in North China has decreased after the 1980s due to both climate (less precipitation) and human (soil and water conservation) factors. During recent years, afforestation projects have been greatly promoted, which has changed the land use/land cover in the basin and consequently affected the water availability. The increase in forestland but decrease in agricultural land and grassland influence the runoff generation. Based on the CA-Markov model, the land use scenario for 2020 is predicted, showing increases in forestland converted primarily from grassland and agricultural land relative to that in 1970 for the entire Luanhe River basin. Mean annual precipitation from five GCMs under four RCPs of the study area in the future (2020–2030) increases (1–7%) relative to the precipitation for the baseline period 1961–1979, and the seasonal precipitation will decrease only in winter.

SWAT, as one of the most widely used hydrological models that consider the effects of land use/cover on runoff, is set up in the upper reaches of the Luanhe River basin for the period 1961–1979, with the aim to simulate runoff for the period 2020–2030 under future climate change and two land use scenarios (i.e., 1970 and 2020), respectively. Results suggest that runoff in the future tends to increase, and the seasonal runoff only decreases in spring. Even though future precipitation
decreases in winter, the increased summer and fall precipitation may replenish soil moisture storage and increase runoff in winter. The relationship between the runoff depth and the RCPs is nonlinear, i.e., the maximum (57 mm) and minimum (50 mm) streamflow appears under the RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 scenarios, respectively, 39–58% higher than the observed runoff depth of 36 mm during the baseline period. The increased precipitation is the main driver for the increase in runoff. Furthermore, LUCC (afforestation) may lead to a slight decrease in mean annual runoff, and the seasonal runoff would increase in summer but decrease in the other three seasons for the study area. The climate change impact dominates changes in runoff over the study area.

Changes in ET, PERC, SURQ, GWQ, and LATQ under different land use scenarios indicate that the LUCC may lead to increases in ET and LATQ, but decreases in SURQ, PERC and GWQ for areas with limited changes in water bodies. Even though the surface runoff decreases, the lateral flow in summer contributes more water to the stream and therefore dominates the change in runoff. Furthermore, topography influences the impacts of LUCC on runoff generation to some degree.

Uncertainties in the future simulation exist because the LUCC prediction is based on the historical land use change. However, it may be influenced by future land use policies and human impacts that are difficult to predict. Future work can focus on improving prediction of LUCC, and evaluating uncertainties in climate projections as well as better interpreting the impacts of LUCC on runoff. Here we provide a solution to reveal changes in runoff by considering both LUCC and climate change. The results can be useful for water resource allocation and land use management in the Luanhe River basin, with important implications for afforestation and water diversion projects in China and globally under a changing environment.
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Appendix A. Calculation of daily solar radiation and dew temperature

The solar radiation over the atmosphere was calculated by Eq. (1).

\[ H_0 = \frac{24}{\pi} I_{SC} E_0 \left[ w T_{SR} \sin \delta \sin \phi + \cos \delta \cos \phi \sin(w T_{SR}) \right] \] (1)

where \( H_0 \) is the radiation over the atmosphere (MJ/(m²d)); \( I_{SC} \) is the solar constant (4.921 MJ/(m²h)); \( E_0 \) is the correction factor of the eccentricity of the Earth orbit; \( w \) is the rotation angular velocity of the earth (0.2681 rad/h); \( T_{SR} \) is the length of daytime that the sun rises (h); \( \delta \) is the solar declination (rad); and \( \phi \) is the geographic latitude (rad).

\( E_0 \) is calculated by Eq. (2) proposed by Duffie et al. (1980).

\[ E_0 = \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^3 - 0.033 \cos^2 \left( \frac{2 \pi d_a}{365} \right) \] (2)

where \( r_0 \) is the average distance of the sun from the earth (1AU); \( r \) is the distance between the sun and the earth on any day (AU); \( d_a \) is the order number of the day in the year from 1 to 365 (or 366).\( \delta \) is calculated by equation (3) proposed by Perrin de Brichambaut (1975).

\[ \delta = \sin^{-1} \left( 0.4 \sin \frac{2\pi}{365} (d_a - 82) \right) \] (3)

\( T_{SR} \) is calculated by Eq. (4):

\[ T_{SR} = \frac{\cos^{-1}(-\tan \delta \tan \phi)}{w} \] (4)

On cloudy days, the solar radiation cannot reach the ground directly and therefore a part of the radiation would loss when entering the atmosphere. The actual total solar radiation can be calculated by Eq. (5) (Pang et al., 2007).

\[ H_{t} = 0.8 H_0 \] (5)

where \( H_{t} \) is the total solar radiation on sunny day.

The daily solar radiation was calculated by empirical equation (6).

\[ H = H_{t} (a + b \times S/S_{d}) \] (6)

where \( H \) is the daily observed total solar radiation (MJ/(m²d)); \( S \) is the sunshine duration; and \( S_d \) is the day length. Assuming that the solar elevation angle at sunrise and sunset is zero, \( S_d \) was calculated by Eq. (7) (Tong et al., 2005). \( a \) and \( b \) is empirical coefficients. Referring to the previous study (Guo, 2006a), the value of them was ascertained that \( a = 0.21 \) and \( b = 0.86 \).

\[ S_d = \omega \times T_{SR} \times \frac{180}{\pi} \times \frac{2}{15} \] (7)

The daily dew point temperature can be calculated by Eq. (8).

\[ \text{dew} = \frac{[234.181 \log(e_a) - 184.2]}{[8.204 - \log(e_a)]} \] (8)

where \text{dew} is the dew point temperature and \( e_a \) is the actual vapor pressure (mbar).
Appendix B. Suitability maps for different land uses/land covers.

Fig. B1. Suitability maps for agriculture land (a), forestland (b), grassland (c), water (d), construction land (e) and unused land (f), with the suitable transformation area for each land use type coded with one (red color).


