Study of the $X(3872)$ and $Y(4260)$ in $B^0 \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^0$ and $B^- \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^-$ decays
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We present results of a search for the \(X(3872)\) in \(B^0 \to X(3872)K_0^\pm\), \(X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-\), improved measurements of \(B^- \to X(3872)K^-\), and a study of the \(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-\) mass region above the \(X(3872)\). We use 232 million \(BB\) pairs collected at the \(\Upsilon(4S)\) resonance with the \(\text{BABAR}\) detector at the PEP-II \(e^+e^-\) asymmetric-energy storage rings. The results include the 90% confidence interval \(1.34 \times 10^{-6} < B(B^0 \to X(3872)K^0, X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) < 10.3 \times 10^{-6}\) and the branching fraction \(B(B^- \to X(3872)K^-), X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) = (10.1 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}\). We observe a \((2.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2)\) MeV/c^2 mass difference of the \(X(3872)\) produced in the two decay modes. Furthermore, we search for the \(Y(4260)\) in \(B\) decays and set the 95% C.L. upper limit \(B(B^- \to Y(4260)K^-), Y(4260) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) < 2.9 \times 10^{-5}\).

a photon.

The $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^0$ and $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^-$ decays are reconstructed in the following way. Electron candidates and bremsstrahlung photons satisfying $2.95 < m(e^+ e^-) < 3.14 \text{GeV}/c^2$ are used to form $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ candidates. A pair of muon candidates within the mass interval $3.06 < m(\mu^+ \mu^-) < 3.14 \text{GeV}/c^2$ is required for a $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ candidate. A mass constraint to the nominal $J/\psi$ mass is imposed in the fit of the lepton pairs. We reconstruct $K^0_s \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ candidates from pairs of oppositely charged tracks forming a vertex with a $\chi^2$ probability larger than 0.1%, a flight-length significance $l/\sigma(l) > 3$ and an invariant mass within $15 \text{MeV}/c^2$ of the nominal $K^0_s$ mass [13]. $X(3872)$ candidates are formed by combining $J/\psi$ candidates with two oppositely charged pion candidates fitted to a common vertex. Finally, we form $B^0 (B^-)$ candidates by combining $X(3872)$ candidates with $K^0_s (K^-)$ candidates. To suppress continuum background, we select only events with a ratio of the second to the zeroth Fox-Wolfram moment less than 0.5.

We use two kinematic variables to identify signal events from $B$ decays: the difference between the energy of the $B$ candidate and the beam energy, $\Delta E \equiv E_B^* - \sqrt{s}/2$, and the energy-substituted mass $m_{ES} \equiv \sqrt{(s/2 + p_t \cdot p_B)^2 / E_B^* - p_B^2}$. Here $(E_t, p_t)$ is the four-vector (in the laboratory frame) and $\sqrt{s}$ is the center-of-mass (CM) energy of the $e^+ e^-$ system. $E_B^*$ is the energy of the $B$ candidate in the CM system and $p_B$ the momentum in the laboratory frame. The signature of signal events is $\Delta E \approx 0$, and $m_{ES} \approx m_B$ where $m_B$ is the mass of the $B$-meson [13].

We optimize the signal selection criteria by maximizing the ratio $n_{mc}^s / (3/2 + \sqrt{n_{mc}^b})$ [12] where $n_{mc}^s (n_{mc}^b)$ are the number of reconstructed Monte Carlo (MC) signal (background) events. The optimization was performed by varying the selection criteria on $\Delta E$, $m_{ES}$, the candidate masses of the $X(3872)$ and $K^0_s$, and the particle identification (PID) requirements of leptons, pions, and charged kaons. The criteria $|\Delta E| < 15 \text{MeV}$, $|m_{ES} - m_B| < 6 \text{MeV}/c^2$ and $|m(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) - 3872 \text{MeV}/c^2| < 6 \text{MeV}/c^2$ (signal region) were found to be optimal for selecting signal events. In case of multiple candidates in an event, we select the candidate with the smallest value of $|\Delta E|$. Applying our optimized selection criteria, we compute the $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ invariant mass in the range $3.8 - 3.95 \text{GeV}/c^2$ shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) for the $B^-$ and $B^0$ mode, respectively. The shaded area shows events in the sideband region $|m_{ES} - 5260| < 6 \text{MeV}/c^2$.

We extract the number of signal events with an extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the two-dimensional distribution $y(m_{ES}, m_X)$ where $m_X$ is the $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ invariant mass. The probability density function (PDF) (normalized to the total number of events) is $P(y) = \sum t m_t P_t(y)$ where $m_t$ is the number of events of category $t$. We consider three different event categories: signal, $B$ decays with the same final-state particles as the signal that accumulate near $m_{ES} \approx m_B$ (peaking background), and combinatorial background. The individual PDFs $P_t$ are assumed to be uncorrelated in $m_{ES}$ and $m_X$ and can therefore be factorized as $P_t(y) = g_t(m_{ES}) h_t(m_X)$, where $g_t$ and $h_t$ represent the $m_{ES}$ and $m_X$ probability distributions, respectively. The $B \rightarrow X(3872)K$ signal events are modeled by a Gaussian distribution in $m_{ES}$. The resolution function in $m_X$ for those events is best described by a Cauchy function due to the mass constraint of the $J/\psi$ candidate. The PDF for peaking background events is parameterized by a Gaussian distribution in $m_{ES}$ and a linear function in $m_X$. We model combinatorial background events by an ARGUS function $m_X$ and a linear function in $m_X$. The fit performance was validated with MC experiments. The mean and width of the $m_{ES}$ Gaussian distribution for signal and peaking background and the width of the $m_X$ Cauchy distribution for the $B^0$ mode were fixed to values obtained from MC samples. Other parameters are allowed to vary in the fit.

The fit is performed in the region $5.2 < m_{ES} < 5.3 \text{GeV}/c^2$ and $3.80 < m_X < 3.95 \text{GeV}/c^2$ without applying the optimized selection criteria on those two variables. The signal region projections of the two-dimensional fit are shown in Fig. 1 for the $B^-$ (a,c) and $B^0$ (b,d) modes. We obtain $61.2 \pm 15.3$ signal events for the $B^-$ mode ($n_{ES}^s$) and $8.3 \pm 4.5$ signal events for the $B^0$ mode ($n_{ES}^b$), respectively. In the following we interpret the observed events in the $B^0$ mode as the $X(3872)$.

The efficiency is determined from MC samples with an $X(3872)$ signal of zero width at 3.872 GeV/c². The decay model consists of the sequential isotropic decays $B \rightarrow X(3872)K, X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \rho^0$, and $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$. Compared to a three-body decay, this gives a more accurate description of the observed $\pi^+ \pi^-$ invariant mass distribution. Efficiencies are corrected for the small differences in PID and tracking efficiencies that are found by comparing data and MC control samples. The final efficiencies are (17.4 $\pm$ 0.2)% for the $B^0/K^0_s$ mode and (22.2 $\pm$ 0.2)% for the $B^-/K^-$ mode.

The branching fraction systematic errors ($B^-, B^0$ mode in %) include uncertainties in the number of $B\overline{B}$ events (1.1, 1.1), secondary branching fractions (5.0, 5.0) [14], efficiency calculation due to limited MC statistics (0.7, 1.9), MC decay model of the $X(3872)$ (1.0, 1.6), differences between data and MC (1.8, 8.9), PID (5.0, 5.0), charged particle tracking (6.0, 4.8), and $K^0_s$ reconstruction (~1.6). The production ratio of $B^0$ and $B^-$ mesons in $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays is $1.006 \pm 0.048$ [15]. The total fractional error obtained by adding the uncertainties in quadrature is 9.6% and 12.8% for the $B^-$ and $B^0$ mode, respectively.

Assuming Gaussian systematic errors with a PDF $P_{sys}(n) \sim \exp[-(n - n_{sys})^2/2\sigma_{sys}^2]$, the negative log-likelihood (NLL) function including systematic errors
In conclusion, our studies of the \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) invariant mass below 4 GeV/c\(^2\) yield a signal of 2.5σ and 6.1σ for the \( B^0 \) and \( B^- \) mode, respectively. The statistical significance calculated from \( -2 \ln \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0 \) is 3.1σ where \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) are the maximum likelihood of the fit and the null hypothesis fit, respectively. Using a phase-space MC simulation of a state at 4.26 GeV/c\(^2\) decaying into \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) and assuming the same systematic uncertainties and efficiency corrections as for the \( X(3872) \), we obtain the 95% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction \( B_Y = B(B^- \rightarrow Y(4260)K^-) \) to be \( 0.50 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.05 \). We observe an excess of events above background in the \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) invariant mass between 4.2 and 4.4 GeV/c\(^2\). These events are consistent with the broad structure observed in ISR events.

If one narrow state is observed in the mode \( B^- \rightarrow X(3872)K^- \), we fit the \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) invariant mass in the \( \psi(2S) \) and \( X(3872) \) region and calculate \( m_X = m_{X,\text{fit}} - m_{\psi(2S),\text{fit}} + m_{\psi(2S)} \). The result for the \( B^0 \) mode is \( (3868.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \) and \( (3871.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \) for the \( B^- \) mode, where the first error is the statistical uncertainty on \( m_{X,\text{fit}} \) and the second is the uncertainty on \( m_{\psi(2S),\text{fit}} \) and \( m_{\psi(2S)} \). The mass difference of the \( X(3872) \) produced in \( B^0 \) and \( B^- \) decays is \( \Delta m = (2.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \). The full width at half maximum of the \( X \)-mass distribution from the fit on data is \( (6.7 \pm 2.7) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \), which is consistent with the MC-determined value of \( (5.4 \pm 0.1) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \). From this we calculate the 90% C.L. upper limit on the natural width as \( \Gamma < 4.1 \text{ MeV/c}^2 \).

Recent observations by BABAR in ISR events provide evidence for at least one broad resonance in the invariant mass spectrum of \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) at 4.259 GeV/c\(^2\) that can be characterized by a single resonance with a full width of 88 MeV/c\(^2\). This structure is referred to as \( Y(4260) \). We search for \( B^- \) decays for states decaying into \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) above 4 GeV/c\(^2\) and impose the additional selection criterion \( |m(K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) - 1273 \text{ MeV/c}^2| > 250 \text{ MeV/c}^2 \), which removes backgrounds from \( K_1(1270) \) decays. In the resulting mass distribution, Fig. 2 we observe large combinatoric backgrounds and cannot reliably determine the parameters of one or more resonances. We use a two-dimensional PDF identical to the previous model, but fix the central value and width of the signal component to the ISR results. The natural width of 88 MeV/c\(^2\) has been enlarged by the detector resolution, which is found to be the same as for the mass region around 3.87 GeV/c\(^2\). The \( m_X \) projection of the two-dimensional fit is overlaid in Fig. 2 and yields 128 \( \pm \) 42 signal events. The statistical significance calculated from \( -2 \ln \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0 \) is 3.1σ where \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) are the maximum likelihood of the fit and the null hypothesis fit, respectively. Using a phase-space MC simulation of a state at 4.26 GeV/c\(^2\) decaying into \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) and assuming the same systematic uncertainties and efficiency corrections as for the \( X(3872) \), we obtain the 95% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction \( B_Y = B(B^- \rightarrow Y(4260)K^-) \) to be \( 0.50 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.05 \). We observe an excess of events above background in the \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) invariant mass between 4.2 and 4.4 GeV/c\(^2\). These events are consistent with the broad structure observed in ISR events.

We measure the mass of the \( X(3872) \) in both modes in reference to the precisely measured \( \psi(2S) \) mass. We fit the \( J/\psi \pi \pi \) invariant mass in the \( \psi(2S) \) and \( X(3872) \) region and calculate \( m_X = m_{X,\text{fit}} - m_{\psi(2S),\text{fit}} + m_{\psi(2S)} \). The result for the \( B^0 \) mode is \( (3868.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \) and \( (3871.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \) for the \( B^- \) mode, where the first error is the statistical uncertainty on \( m_{X,\text{fit}} \) and the second is the uncertainty on \( m_{\psi(2S),\text{fit}} \) and \( m_{\psi(2S)} \). The mass difference of the \( X(3872) \) produced in \( B^0 \) and \( B^- \) decays is \( \Delta m = (2.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \). The full width at half maximum of the \( X \)-mass distribution from the fit on data is \( (6.7 \pm 2.7) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \), which is consistent with the MC-determined value of \( (5.4 \pm 0.1) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \). From this we calculate the 90% C.L. upper limit on the natural width as \( \Gamma < 4.1 \text{ MeV/c}^2 \).
to be dominant in the neutral mode. In this case, the model predicts the relative rates to be equal ($R = 1$) and the mass difference to be $(7 \pm 2)$ MeV/$c^2$. The ratio of branching fractions is consistent with our measurement, $0.13 < R < 1.10$ at 90% C.L., and the observed mass difference of $(2.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2)$ MeV/$c^2$ is both consistent with zero and the model prediction within two standard deviations. In the S-wave molecule model [9], the neutral mode branching fraction is predicted to be at least 10 times smaller ($R < 0.1$) than the charged mode. However, we obtain a ratio of neutral to charged branching fractions which is slightly more consistent with isospin-conserving decays.
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[1] Charge conjugate reactions are included implicitly throughout.